EDM and CPV in the \tau system

Gabriel González Sprinberg Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Montevideo Uruguay

gabrielg@fisica.edu.uy

DIF06 INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

Outline

Electric Dipole Moment 1.

1.1 Definition d^{B}_{f}



B = γ, Z, g, ... f = e, μ, τ, ..., b, t

1.2 Experiments

Observables 2.

> 2.1 High energies 2.2 Low energies

Conclusions 3.

P and T-odd interaction of a fermion with gauge fields:

Classical electromagnetism Ordinary quantum mechanics

$$H_{EDM} = -\mathbf{\breve{d}} \cdot \mathbf{\breve{E}} , \quad \mathbf{\breve{d}} = d \ \mathcal{G}$$

Relativistic quantum mechanics: Dirac equation

$$H = \overline{\Psi} \left(i(\partial + eA) - m \right) \Psi + \frac{i}{2} d \overline{\Psi} \gamma^5 \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Psi F_{\mu\nu}$$

Non-relativistic limit:

$$H \to H_{EDM} = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

SYMMETRIES: Time reversal T, Parity P Besides, chirality flip (some insight into the mass origin)



In QFT: CPT Invariance $\mathcal{CP} \approx \mathcal{T}$



SM : • vertex corrections

at least 4-loops

Beyond SM:

- one loop effect (SUSY,2HDM,...)
 - dimension six effective operator



We need 3-loops for a quark-EDM, and 4 loops for a lepton...



$$d_e \simeq eG_F m_e \alpha^2 \alpha_s J / (4\pi)^5$$

J.F.Donoghue '78 I.B.Khriplovich, M.E.Pospelov '90 A.Czarnecki, B.Krause '97

Fermion of mass m_f generated by physics at Λ -scale has $EDM \approx m_f/\Lambda^2$

T-odd T—EDM has particular interest and depends on the underlying physics of CP violation

Effective Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i C_i O_i + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^4}\right)$$

CPV:

Operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = i\alpha_B \mathcal{O}_B + i\alpha_W \mathcal{O}_W + {\rm h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_W = \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2} \bar{L}_L \vec{\tau} \varphi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \tau_R \vec{W}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{g'}{2\Lambda^2} \bar{L}_L \varphi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \tau_R B^{\mu\nu}$$

Other operators:

$$O_{LW} = i [\overline{L} \gamma^{\mu} \tau^{I} D^{\nu} L - \overline{D^{\nu} L} \gamma^{\mu} \tau^{I} L] W^{I}_{\mu\nu},$$

$$O_{LB} = i [\overline{L} \gamma^{\mu} D^{\nu} L - \overline{D^{\nu} L} \gamma^{\mu} L] B_{\mu\nu},$$

$$O_{\tau B} = i [\overline{\tau_{R}} \gamma^{\mu} D^{\nu} \tau_{R} - \overline{D^{\nu} \tau_{R}} \gamma^{\mu} \overline{\tau_{R}}] B_{\mu\nu},$$





More sensitivity

HIGH ENERGY (Z-peak)



$$\Gamma_5^{\mu}(p_-, p_+) = ie \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 a_V(q^2) + \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^5 q_V d_V(q^2) \right]$$

V = γ, Ζ

For $q^2=0$ EDM d_{γ}^{τ} $q^2=M_Z^2$ WEDM d_Z^{τ}

GAUGE INVARIANT OBSERVABLE QUANTITIES

Beyond SM EDM: Loop calculations

1. EDM 1.2 Experiments

PDG '06 95% CL

EDM BELLE '02

Re(d
$$_{\gamma}^{\tau}$$
): (-2.2 to 0.45)×10⁻¹⁶ e cm

 $Im(d_{\gamma}^{\tau}): (-0.25 \text{ to } 0.008) \times 10^{-16} \text{ ecm}$

WEDM ALEPH 1990-95 LEP runs

$$|\text{Re}(d_z^{\tau})| \le 0.50 \times 10^{-17} \,\text{e}\,\text{cm}$$

$$|Im(d_{z}^{\tau})| \le 1.1 \times 10^{-17} e cm$$

1. EDM 1.2 Experiments

For other fermions....

$$d_{\gamma}^{e} = (0.069 \pm 0.074) \times 10^{-26} e cm$$

$$d_{\gamma}^{\mu} = (3.7 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-19} \text{ e cm}$$

$$d_{\gamma}^{n} < 0.63 \times 10^{-25} e cm, 90\% CL$$

2. Observables

SM for EDM is well below within present experimental limits:

$$\frac{d_{\gamma}^{q} \approx 10^{-32} - 10^{-34} \text{ ecm}}{d_{\gamma}^{e} \approx 10^{-38} \text{ ecm}} CKM 3-loops$$

$$SM \text{ prediction}$$

$$CKM 4-loops$$

Hopefully

$$d_{\gamma}^{\tau} \approx \frac{m_{\tau}}{m_{e}} d_{\gamma}^{e} \approx 10^{-33} - 10^{-34} ecm$$
 in the SM

...15 orders of magnitude below experiments...



Currents limits on electron EDM gives:



However, in many models the EDM do not necessarily scale as the first power of the masses.

Multihiggs models 1-loop contributions Vectorlike leptons

EDM scale as the cube of the mass!

BSM τ -EDM can go up to 10^{-19} e cm







Spin terms angular distribution of decay products

- Asymmetries in the decay products
- Expectation values of tensor observables

Polarizations	Р	CP	Т
$(\mathbf{s_1} + \mathbf{s_2})_{x,z}$	_	+	+
$(\mathbf{s_1} + \mathbf{s_2})_y$	+	+	
$(\mathbf{s_1} - \mathbf{s_2})_y$	+		_
$(\mathbf{s_1} - \mathbf{s_2})_{x,z}$			+

•

Correlations	Р	CP	Т
$s_{xx}, \ s_{yy}, \ s_{zz},$ $(s_{xz} + s_{zx})$	+	+	+
$(s_{xy} + s_{yx}),$ $(s_{yz} + s_{zy})$	_	+	
$(\mathbf{s_1} imes \mathbf{s_2})_{x,z}$	_	_	_
$(\mathbf{s_1} imes \mathbf{s_2})_y$	+	_	+

x: Transverse y: Normal z: Longitudinal



(and needs helicity-flip)

Genuine CPV if J.Bernabeu,GGS,J.Vidal '93

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\tau^+} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\tau^-}$$

$$P_{N}^{\tau} \propto a\gamma\beta sin\theta_{\tau} \left[2v^{2} + (v^{2} + a^{2})\beta cos\theta_{\tau} \right] m_{\tau} \frac{dz}{e}$$

EDM (and P_N^{τ}) is proportional to angular asymmetries, to extract $\sin\theta_{\tau} \cos\theta_{\tau} \sin\phi_{h}$ $A = \frac{\sigma^{+} - \sigma^{-}}{\sigma^{+} + \sigma^{-}}$ (more details latter..)

One can measure A for τ^+ and/or τ^-

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{CPV}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A}^+ + \mathbf{A}^-)$$



Diagrams:



 $EDM \leftrightarrow Spin$ correlation terms only

NORMAL-TRANSVERSE T-odd $(s_+ \times s_-)_{N,T}$

NORMAL-LONGITUDINAL $(s_+ \times s_-)_{N,L}$

Discrete symmetries: P, CP, T and helicity flip.

J.Bernabeu, GGS, J.Vidal '04

What about the linear terms?

Normal polarization: P-even, T-odd $(s_+ - s_-)$

VS.

EDM lagrangian P and T-odd.

Interference with the axial part of Z-exchange, suppressed by q²/M_Z²



- $\tau \rightarrow h \nu$ kinematic variables :
 - $e^-\tau^-$ CM angle θ
 - Azimuthal $\phi_{h^+}, \phi_{h'^-}$
 - Polar $\theta_{h^+},\,\theta_{h'^-}$ angles of the produced hadrons h^+ and h'^-



Fig. 2. Reference system for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow h_1^+ + h_2^- + X$

 τ^- momenta \leftrightarrow LONGITUDINAL \leftrightarrow z axe

 $p_{ au^-} imes p_{e^-} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{NORMAL} \leftrightarrow y$ axe

CORRELATIONS:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{corr}}{d\Omega_{\tau^{-}}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{16s} \beta \left(s_+^x s_-^x C_{xx} + s_+^y s_-^y C_{yy} + s_+^z s_-^z C_{zz} + (s_+^x s_-^y + s_+^y s_-^x) C_{xy}^+ + (s_+^x s_-^z + s_+^z s_-^x) C_{xz}^+ + (s_+^y s_-^z + s_+^z s_-^y) C_{yz}^+ + (s_+^x s_-)_x C_{yz}^- + (s_+^y s_-^z + (s_+^y s_-)_z C_{xy}^-) \right)$$

$$C_{xx} = (2 - \beta^2) \sin^2 \theta \qquad C_{xz}^+ = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sin 2\theta$$

$$C_{yy} = -\sin^2 \theta \qquad C_{xy}^- = 2\beta \sin^2 \theta \, d\gamma$$

$$C_{zz} = \beta^2 + (2 - \beta^2) \cos^2 \theta \qquad C_{yz}^- = \gamma \beta \sin^2 \theta \, d\gamma$$

2.2 Low energies

NORMAL-TRANSVERSE CORRELATION

$$C_{xy}^-$$
 term in $d\sigma(e^+e^- \to \gamma \to \tau^+\tau^- \to h^+\bar{\nu}h'^-\nu)$

$$\frac{d\sigma^8}{d\Omega_\tau d^3 q_-^* d^3 q_+^*}\Big|_{C_{xy}^-} = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2}{128\pi^3 s^2} Br_+ Br_- d\gamma_{\tau}$$
$$\sin^2 \theta \left(n_{+x}^* n_{-y}^* - n_{+y}^* n_{-x}^*\right)$$
$$\delta(q_-^* - P_-)\delta(q_+^* - P_+)$$

 $n^*_\pm = \pm \alpha_\pm \hat{q}^*_\pm$

 q_\pm are the momentum of the hadrons

$$P_{\pm} = \frac{m_{\tau}^2 - m_{\pm}}{2m\tau}$$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\phi_-^* d\phi_+^*} = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2}{192s^2} Br_- Br_+ \alpha_- \alpha_+ \sin(\phi_-^* - \phi_+^*) \, d\tau$$

$$A_{NT} = \frac{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-}{\sigma^+ + \sigma^-}$$
$$\sigma^\pm = \int_{w \ge 0} \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\phi_-^* d\phi_+^*} d\phi_-^* d\phi_+^*$$
$$w = \sin(\phi_-^* - \phi_+^*)$$

$$A_{NT} = \frac{4\beta}{\pi} \frac{\alpha_{-}\alpha_{+}}{3 - \beta^{2}} \frac{d\gamma}{\tau}$$

NORMAL-LONGITUDINAL CORRELATION

$$\frac{d\sigma^8}{d\Omega_{\tau}d^3q_{-}^*d^3q_{+}^*}\Big|_{C_{yz}^{--}} = \frac{\alpha^2\beta^2}{128\pi^3s^2}Br_{+}Br_{-}\gamma \frac{d\gamma}{d\tau}$$
$$\sin 2\theta (n_{+z}^*n_{-y}^* - n_{+y}^*n_{-z}^*)$$
$$\delta(q_{-}^* - P_{-})\delta(q_{+}^* - P_{+})$$

$$A_{NL}^{-} = \frac{\sigma^{+} - \sigma^{-}}{\sigma^{+} + \sigma^{-}}$$
$$\sigma^{\pm} = \int_{w \ge 0} \frac{d^{3}\sigma}{d\phi_{-}^{*}d\theta_{-}^{*}d\theta_{+}^{*}} d\phi_{-}^{*}d\theta_{+}^{*}d\theta_{+}^{*}d\theta_{+}^{*}$$

$$A_{NL} = \frac{1}{2} \left(A_{NL}^{+} - A_{NL}^{-} \right) = \frac{\beta \gamma}{4(3-\beta^2)} \, \alpha_h^2 \, \frac{2m_\tau}{e} \, d_\tau^{\gamma}$$

$$e^+e^-$$
 at Υ energies

$$au$$
 pair production: $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon \to \tau^+ \tau^-$

- Multiplicative factor appears in the cross section
- Interference of diagrams (a) and (d) plus the interference of diagrams (b) and (c) is exactly zero.
- Only the interference of diagrams (b) and (d) contributes

$$\left(\frac{e^2 Q_b^2 |F_\Upsilon|^2}{s \Gamma_\Upsilon M_\Upsilon}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{3}{\alpha} Br(\Upsilon \to e^+ e^-)\right)^2$$

The asymmetries do not change at the ↑ peak

EDM imaginary part:

LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE POLARIZATION TERMS

$$A_{T}^{\pm} = \frac{\sigma_{\pm}^{\pm} - \sigma_{-}^{\pm}}{\sigma_{\pm}^{\pm} + \sigma_{-}^{\pm}}$$
$$\sigma_{\pm}^{\pm} = \int_{w \ge 0} \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{\tau^{-}} d\phi_{\pm}} d\cos\theta_{\tau^{-}} d\phi_{\pm}$$
$$w = \sin 2\theta_{\tau^{-}} \cos\phi_{\pm}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\pm} &= -\frac{\beta\gamma}{2(3-\beta^2)} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\pm} \frac{2\mathbf{m}_{\tau}}{e} \text{Im} \left[\mathbf{d}_{\tau}^{\gamma} \right] \\ \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{CPV}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{T}}^{+} + \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-} \right) \end{split}$$

Bounds:

For $10^{6/7}$ t and at low/Y energies upper bound

$$|\text{Re}(d_{\gamma}^{\tau})| < 10^{-16/-17} \text{ e cm}$$

3. Conclusions

- τ EDM can be well bounded at low energy experiments
- Different CP-odd asymmetries allow to study the correlation and linear spin terms
- Bounds from these observables are competitive with present limits

➔ Polarized beams open the possibility for new observables

→ Improving the number of τ -pairs (...10¹¹?) allows to lower the EDM bound by many (...2?) orders of magnitude

These new bounds may be important for beyond the SM τ -physics

3. Summary

Discussions with J.Bernabeu, J.Vidal and A.Santamaría are gratefully acknowledged

SOME REFERENCES

- J. Bernabeu, G.S., Jordi Vidal
 Nuclear Physics B 701 (2004) 87–102
- Daniel Gomez-Dumm, G.S.
 Eur. Phys. J. C 11, 293{300 (1999)
- W. Bernreuther, A. Brandenburg, and P. Overmann Phys. Lett. B 391 (1997) 413; ibid., B 412 (1997) 425.