Crowd Synchrony and Quorum Sensing in Delay-Coupled Lasers

Jordi Zamora-Munt, C. Masoller, and Jordi Garcia-Ojalvo
Departament de Fı́sica i Enginyeria Nuclear, Universitat Polite`cnica de Catalunya,
Edifici GAIA, Rambla de Sant Nebridi s/n, 08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain

Rajarshi Roy
Institute for Research in Electronics and Applied Physics, Department of Physics, and Institute for Physical Science and Technology,
University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
(Received 24 September 2010; published 22 December 2010)

Crowd synchrony and quorum sensing arise when a large number of dynamical elements communicate with each other via a common information pool. Previous evidence has shown that this type of coupling leads to synchronization, when coupling is instantaneous and the number of coupled elements is large enough. Here we consider a situation in which the transmission of information between the system components and the coupling pool is not instantaneous. To that end, we model a system of semiconductor lasers optically coupled to a central laser with a delay. Our results show that, even though the lasers are nonidentical due to their distinct optical frequencies, zero-lag synchronization arises. By changing a system parameter, we can switch between two different types of synchronization transition. The dependence of the transition with respect to the delay-coupling parameters is studied.

Many situations in nature involve systems of multiple dynamical elements that interact with each other through a common medium. Examples include pendulum clocks mounted on the same wooden beam [1], cellular populations communicating via small molecules that freely diffuse among the cells [2,3], and longitudinal modes of a laser connected through saturation of the common amplifying medium [4,5]. In most cases, the coupling surrounding leads to a synchronous behavior between all the coupled elements, but no general framework for such crowd synchrony has been developed yet.

One of the features that determines whether a coupling medium is able to elicit synchronization is the number of elements that are connected through it. One situation where this fact has been established is that of the Millennium Bridge in London. Two days after its opening in 2000, the pedestrian bridge had to be closed because of excessive wobbling. Subsequent investigations [6] revealed that the pedestrians, who initially walked with different frequencies and phases, fell into a synchronous pacing when the number of people on the bridge was large enough. That effect was modeled and understood in terms of the synchronization of simple oscillators [7,8]. In a biological context, collective glycolytic oscillations in yeast cells have also been seen to arise as a result of large enough cell densities [9,10]. In that case, and in contrast with the Millennium Bridge, oscillations of individual cells only occur above a critical number of them: the coupling induces both the oscillations and the synchronization among them. A similar situation was recently reported on a chemical oscillator system, formed by catalytic particles suspended in a catalyst-free Belousov-Zhabotinsky medium. This system also shows a transition to synchronized oscillations above a certain density of catalytic particles [11]. Furthermore, depending on the coupling strength, the synchronization appears either progressively or suddenly. The question of how generic this behavior is remains open.

Studies of crowd synchrony to date have considered the coupling with the medium to be instantaneous [7–11]. This naturally results in synchronous behavior with zero time lag between any pair of elements in the system. However, in many situations the transmission of the coupling signal takes an amount of time that is non-negligible with respect to the characteristic time scales of the system components. This is the case, e.g., in systems of technological importance such as optically coupled semiconductor lasers. When these devices are separated distances on the order of centimeters, they are subject to coupling delays on the order of the characteristic time scales of solitary lasers (shorter than nanoseconds). In recent years much effort has been devoted to understanding the synchronization of semiconductor lasers. This is important for technological reasons, i.e., to achieve large output powers, but also for increasing our knowledge of how generic dynamical systems synchronize. Semiconductor lasers are low-cost, versatile, and many of the commercial lasers are well-described theoretically. They also show a wide variety of nonlinear dynamical behavior, both as single elements with external influences and as part of laser networks; examples include low-frequency fluctuations [12,13], chaos [14], excitability [15–17], and nontrivial synchronization phenomena [18,19]. However, most studies of coupled lasers so far have considered a small number of elements. Thus, how to achieve synchronization for a large number of coupled nonidentical lasers is still an open question.
In this Letter we show that a collection of \( M \) semiconductor lasers coupled through a central laser exhibits zero-lag crowd synchronization. This setup is a generalization of the case of two identical oscillators coupled through a third central element [20–25]. Isochronal synchronization is relevant in both technological [26] and biological [27] contexts. Here the central laser operates in a passive regime (below threshold) and plays the role of a coupling medium analogous to the bridge structure in pedestrian synchronization [7] and to the reaction medium in chemical synchronization [11]. Our results show that the general properties of both the crowd synchrony and the quorum-sensing transition are readily reproduced with this setup.

The equations describing the slow envelope of the complex electric field \( E \) and the carrier density \( N \) for the \( M \) lasers are [23]

\[
\dot{E}_j = i\omega_j E_j + \gamma(1 + i\alpha)(G_j - 1)E_j + \kappa E_H(t - \tau)e^{-i\omega_0\tau} + \sqrt{D}\xi_j(t),
\]

\[
E_H = i\omega_HE_H + \gamma(1 + i\alpha)(G_H - 1)E_H + \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{M} E_j(t - \tau)e^{-i\omega_0\tau} + \sqrt{D}\xi_H(t),
\]

\[
\dot{N}_{j,H} = \gamma \epsilon \mu_{j,H} - N_{j,H} - G_{j,H}|E_{j,H}|^2,
\]

where \( G_{j,H} = N_{j,H}/(1 + \epsilon|E_{j,H}|^2) \) and the subscripts \( H \) and \( j \) denote the central (hub) and \( j \)th outer (star) laser, respectively. The field and carrier decay rates are \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma_\epsilon \), respectively, \( \alpha \) is the linewidth enhancement factor, \( \epsilon \) is the gain saturation, \( \omega_0 \) is the optical frequency, and \( \omega_H \) and \( \omega_j \) are the detunings of the hub and the star lasers with respect to the reference frequency \( \omega_0 \). The coupling is characterized by its strength \( \kappa \) and delay \( \tau \), both of which are assumed equal for all lasers. \( \mu_j = \mu \) and \( \mu_H \) are the pump currents of the star and hub lasers, respectively. Finally, \( \xi_j(t) \) and \( \xi_H(t) \) are uncorrelated complex Gaussian white noises, with \( D \) being the noise strength.

The model was integrated with the stochastic Heun algorithm with a time step of 0.8 ps, using parameter values typical for semiconductor lasers: \( \gamma = 300 \) ns\(^{-1} \), \( \gamma_\epsilon = 1 \) ns\(^{-1} \), \( \alpha = 3 \), \( D = 10^{-5} \) ns\(^{-1} \), \( \omega_0 = 2\pi c/\lambda \) (where \( c \) is the speed of light and \( \lambda = 654 \) nm). \( \omega_H = 0 \) without loss of generality, and \( \omega_j \) is chosen from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and standard deviation \( \sigma \). In what follows we neglect nonlinear gain saturation (\( \epsilon = 0 \)), since it does not affect the results obtained (not shown). Unless otherwise stated \( \sigma = 20\pi \) rad/\( \text{ns} \), corresponding to \( \Delta \lambda = 0.014 \) nm, and \( \tau = 5 \) ns.

Figure 1 shows the stationary emitted intensity for varying number of star lasers. For small \( M \) [Fig. 1(a)] the lasers oscillate independently. By increasing \( M \), synchronized emission at near zero lag occurs for lasers with similar frequencies, forming clusters with similar dynamics as shown in Fig. 1(b). The number of synchronized lasers in those clusters grows as \( M \) increases [Fig. 1(c)], with an emission characterized by short pulses of irregular amplitudes with a repetition period around \( 2\tau \). Those characteristics become more evident for large \( M \), where almost all the lasers emit synchronously at zero lag [Fig. 1(d)], with emission pulses taking place simultaneously in most of the lasers. This situation is reached provided the pump current of the hub laser is set below the solitary lasing threshold, i.e., \( \mu_H < \mu_{\text{th}} = 1 \) [28].

In order to quantify the level of zero-lag synchronization, we calculated the total coherent intensity of the star lasers as \( I = \sum_{j=1}^{M} E_j(t)^2 \). Figure 2 compares the dynamics of this quantity with that of the intensity \( |E_H|^2 \) of the hub for increasing number of lasers. For increasing values of \( M \), Figs. 2(a)–2(d) show the emergence of large intensity pulses in the total coherent intensity, corresponding to strongly synchronized activity in Figs. 2(b)–2(d). The hub laser reproduces these dynamics after a time \( \tau \).
This is reflected in a large peak at time $-\tau$ in the cross-correlation function between $|E_H|^2$ and $I$, shown in Figs. 2(e)-2(h). Thus, the hub laser lags behind the star lasers in the synchronized state.

To investigate the transition to the synchronized state, we use as order parameter the time-averaged total coherent intensity of the star lasers $\langle I \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the average over a time window of length $T = 4 \mu s$, calculated in the stationary state. In the absence of synchronization $\langle I \rangle$ grows linearly with $M$, while when synchronization arises this linear dependence is lost. Figure 3(a) shows the average coherent intensity as a function of the number of star lasers for different coupling strengths and pump currents. When the star lasers are pumped above the solitary threshold and for small coupling, $\langle I \rangle / M$ is approximately constant, corresponding to the case of the absence of synchronization. For moderate values of $\kappa$, on the other hand, the system becomes gradually synchronized as $M$ increases. The transition to synchronization occurs for a critical number of coupled lasers $M_c$, which we quantify as the number of lasers for which the growth rate of $\langle I \rangle$ with $M$ changes abruptly. For even larger $\kappa$ the critical number of lasers needed for synchronization decreases.

The qualitative character of the synchronization transition can be changed by tuning the pump current $\mu$ of the star lasers below the laser threshold. When $\mu$ is well below threshold [Fig. 3(c)], the transition to synchronization is very sharp, in contrast with Fig. 3(a), provided coupling is large enough. Note that in this case both the star and hub lasers are pumped below their solitary threshold, but are effectively above threshold due to coupling, and they turn on due to their spontaneous emission. The transition takes place when the star lasers are pumped at their solitary threshold [Fig. 3(b)], which shows the beginning of a sharp transition for intermediate $\kappa$ [dark gray (blue) line] when the star lasers are pumped only slightly below threshold. We also note that this behavior requires that the hub laser be pumped below threshold, i.e., $\mu_H < \mu_{th} = 1$; otherwise the critical behavior is lost.

One of the characteristic features of crowd synchronization is the scaling of the critical number of elements with the degree of diversity in the population and with the coupling coefficient [7]. In our case diversity is caused by the different frequencies $\omega_j$ of the lasers. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the dependence of the critical number of lasers on the width $\sigma$ of the frequency distribution and on the coupling strength $\kappa$. The results show that $M_c$ increases linearly with $\sigma$, while the dependence with $\kappa$ follows a power law with negative exponent, as occurs in [7]. As expected, the larger $\sigma$, the more different the lasers, and more lasers are required to generate the synchronized state. A broad frequency distribution leads to a reduction in the size of the clusters of lasers with similar $\omega_j$ shown in Fig. 1(b), and a corresponding decrease in the coherent intensity. On the other hand, the larger the coupling strength, the smaller the minimum number of lasers required to synchronize the system [Fig. 4(b)].

We have also considered the effect of the coupling delay $\tau$ on the transition to the synchronized state. As shown in Fig. 4(c), for short delays (compared with the characteristic time scales of the laser) $M_c$ exhibits a sharp resonance at a $\tau$ corresponding to the cavity decay time, but for longer delays the resonance is lost.
FIG. 4 (color online). Critical number of lasers $M_c$, as a function of different system parameters, for pump currents $\mu = 1.02, \mu_{th} = 0.4$. (a) $M_c$ as a function of the width of the frequency distribution $\sigma$. The dashed line shows a linear fit for $\sigma/2\pi > 6$ GHz ($M_c \approx 1.2\sigma, r^2 = 0.982$). Other parameters are $\kappa = 30$ ns$^{-1}$ and $\tau = 5$ ns. (b) Doubly logarithmic plot of $M_c$ as a function of the coupling strength $\kappa$. The dashed line shows a power-law fit of the data ($M_c \propto 1/\kappa^{2.2}, r^2 = 0.987$). Other parameters are $\sigma = 20\pi$ rad/ns and $\tau = 5$ ns. (c) $M_c$ as a function of the time delay $\tau$. Other parameters are $\kappa = 30$ ns$^{-1}$ and $\sigma = 20\pi$ rad/ns. The simulations are averaged over 20 stochastic realizations of the initial conditions and frequency distribution.
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[28] In the case that the hub laser is pumped above the solitary threshold, $\mu_H > \mu_{th}$, the synchronized state emerges progressively but without clustering, as a direct consequence of the intrinsic dynamics of the hub.